Acd FCC 11/23/92 3:20 p.m. Nonna Bradulaw

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS DEC - 8 1992
2	Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION PEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION 20554
3	Washington, D.C. 20554 OFFICE OF THE SEGRETARY
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF: MM DOCKET NO. 92-122
6	•
7	CALVARY EDUCATIONAL BROADCASTING
8	NETWORK, INC.
9	For Renewal of License of Station KOKS(FM)
10	Poplar Bluff, Missouri
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	DATE OF HEARING: November 12, 1992 VOLUME: 2
24	
25	PLACE OF HEARING: Washington, D. C. PAGES: 31-140

1	Before th		A STATE OF THE STA
2	FEDERAL COMMUNICATION Washington, D.C.		RECEIVED
3			DEC - 8 1992
4	In the matter of:		L COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
5	CALVARY EDUCATIONAL	IC,	FFICE OF THE SECRETARY
6	BROADCASTING NETWORK, INC.	MM Docket No.	92-122
7 8	For Renewal of License of) Station KOKS(FM)) Poplar Bluff, Missouri)		
9)		
10	The above-entitled mat	tter came on fo	r an
11	admissions session pursuant to M Joseph Stirmer, Administrative I		
12	Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20554, in Co		troom No.
13	ADDEADANGES .		
14	APPEARANCES:		
15	On behalf of Calvary Educational Inc.:	Broadcasting	Network,
16	Joseph E. Dunne, III, Esqui	.re	
17	May & Dunne, Chartered 1000 Thomas Jefferson St., Washington, D.C. 20007	N.W., Suite 520	0
18			
19	On behalf of FCC Mass Media:		
20	Y. Paulette Laden, Esquire James W. Shook, Esquire		
21	FCC Mass Media Bureau Hearing Branch		
22	2025 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554		
23			
24	·		
25			

1		I N D E	x	
2		EXHIBI	<u>T S</u>	
3	KOKS	<u>Identified</u>	Received	Rejected
4	No. 1	36 36	70 80	
5	No. 2 No. 3 No. 4	37 38	98 98	
6	No. 5 No. 6	38 38		
7	No. 7 No. 8	112 116	118	113
8	No. 9	117 117	118 118	
9	No. 10			<u>Rejected</u>
10	Mass Media Bureau	<u>Identified</u>	Received	<u>ke jec ced</u>
11	No. 1 No. 2	119 119	133 133 133	
12	No. 3 No. 4	120 121	133 133 133	
13	No. 5 No. 6	121 121 122	133 133 133	
14	No. 7 No. 8	122 122 122	133 133	
15	No. 9 No. 10	123 123	133 133	
16	No. 11 No. 12	123 123 124	133 133	
17	No. 13 No. 14	124	133 133	
18	No. 15 No. 16	124 125	133	
19	No. 17 No. 18	125 125	133 133	
20	No. 19 No. 20	126 126	133 133	
21	No. 21 No. 22	126 127	133 133	
22	No. 23 No. 24	127 128	133 133	
23	No. 25 No. 26	128 128	133 133	
24	No. 27 No. 28	129 129	133 133	
25	Hearing began: 10):00 a.m. He	earing Ended:	12:30 p.m.

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(10:00 a.m.)
3	JUDGE STIRMER: Please be seated.
4	MR. DUNNE: Good morning, Your Honor.
5	JUDGE STIRMER: Good morning.
6	MR. DUNNE: Your Honor, before we go on the
7	record, may I raise an issue.
8	JUDGE STIRMER: Sure.
9	COURT REPORTER: We are on the record. Do
10	you want me to stop?
11	JUDGE STIRMER: Please.
12	(Off the record.)
13	(On the record.)
14	JUDGE STIRMER: This is the date scheduled
15	for the Admissions Session in Docket No. 92-122 invol-
16	ving the application of Calvary Educational
17	Broadcasting Network, Inc., for renewal of license for
18	Station KOKS(FM), Poplar Bluff, Missouri. A prehearing
19	conference in this proceeding was held on July 16,
20	1992. At the conference, matters relating to discovery
21	as well as possible stipulations were, were discussed.
22	Procedures relating to the hearing and the number of
23	possible witnesses were also considered and the hearing
24	schedule was adopted.
25	I'd like at this time to obtain the

1	appearances for Calvary Educational Broadcasting
2	Network, Inc.
3	MR. DUNNE: Joseph E. Dunne, III, of the firm
4	of May and Dunne, Chartered.
5	JUDGE STIRMER: And for the Bureau?
6	MS. LADEN: Paulette Laden and James Shook.
7	JUDGE STIRMER: Very well. Are there any
8	preliminary matters?
9	MS. LADEN: Yes, Your Honor. I have some.
10	JUDGE STIRMER: Very well.
11	MS. LADEN: I have when we exchanged our
12	exhibits, Exhibit 3 had not been executed. I have
13	executed copies for you and for Mr. Dunne of Exhibit 3.
14	JUDGE STIRMER: Now, should this be substitu-
15	ted for what previously was exchanged?
16	MS. LADEN: Exactly, Your Honor. It's
17	identical except for the fact that it's executed.
18	Also, Your Honor, I wanted to say that Mr.
19	Shook, who will be going to Poplar Bluff, is going to
20	handle the bulk of the, of the U.S. comments of the
21	Admissions Session. But he's got another oral argument
22	this morning, so he'll be coming in later. And I'll
23	be, I'll be doing it for the Bureau until he gets here.
24	JUDGE STIRMER: Very well. All right. Are
25	there any other preliminary matters? All right. Then,

1	if not, Mr. Dunne, you have the burden of proceeding.
2	Would you want to begin with the identification of your
3	exhibits?
4	MR. DUNNE: Yes, sir, Your Honor. If it's
5	consistent with your wishes, what I would do is to
6	simply identify each of the exhibits and their, their
7	sponsoring witnesses serially, and we can go back and,
8	and
9	JUDGE STIRMER: Very well. And then I will
10	rule on them individually after you have identified all
11	of them.
12	MR. DUNNE: Okay. KOKS submits has sub-
13	mitted or exchanged seven exhibits for your considera-
14	tion, Your Honor. Exhibit No. 1 is entitled The
15	Testimony of Charles it should be M. Lampe.
16	COURT REPORTER: Could you spell the last
17	name?
18	MR. DUNNE: LAMPE.
19	MS. LADEN: Thank you.
20	JUDGE STIRMER: Charles M., is it?
21	MR. DUNNE: Yes, it is M.
22	JUDGE STIRMER: Instead of the A?
23	MR. DUNNE: That's correct, Your Honor. It's
24	executed on page 17 and has two attachments, Attachment
25	A and Attachment B, consisting in toto of four addi-

1	tional pages.
2	JUDGE STIRMER: How many pages is that in
3	total?
4	MR. DUNNE: Twenty-one, Your Honor.
5	JUDGE STIRMER: Twenty-one total pages. Very
6	well. The document described by counsel will be marked
7	as KOKS Exhibit No
8	MR. DUNNE: 1.
9	JUDGE STIRMER: 1.
10	(Whereupon, the document
11	referred to as KOKS Exhibit
12	No. 1 was marked for
13	identification.)
14	MR. DUNNE: Exhibit No. 2, Your Honor, is the
15	testimony of Don Ace of Don Stewart. It consists of
16	14 pages, the last page being the executed declaration
17	of Mr. Stewart.
18	JUDGE STIRMER: Very well. The document
19	described by counsel will be marked for identification
20	as KOKS Exhibit No. 2.
21	(Whereupon, the document
22	referred to as KOKS Exhibit
23	No. 2 was marked for
24	identification.)
25	MR. DUNNE: Exhibit No. 3 is the testimony of

1	Nina Stewart. It consists of 32 pages, the last page
2	being the declaration of Mrs. Stewart, signed.
3	JUDGE STIRMER: That'll be marked as your
4	Exhibit No. 3.
5	(Whereupon, the document
6	referred to as KOKS Exhibit
7	No. 3 was marked for
8	identification.)
9	MR. DUNNE: Your Honor, it also includes
10	eight pages of attachments, Attachment A and Attachment
11	в.
12	COURT REPORTER: Is that 31 pages total?
13	MR. DUNNE: It will be I believe it's 40
14	pages total.
15	JUDGE STIRMER: Forty pages total. Very
16	well. That will be
17	MR. DUNNE: Excuse me, Your Honor. This is
18	three. I'm sorry. It's 12 pages of attachments for 44
19	pages in total.
20	JUDGE STIRMER: Very well.
21	MR. DUNNE: Exhibit No. 4 is the testimony of
22	Joseph Harris excuse me Harrison. My mistake.
23	It's consisting of two pages, the second being the
24	executed declaration of Mr. Harrison.
25	JUDGE STIRMER: That'll be marked as KOKS

1	Exhibit No. 4.
2	(Whereupon, the document
3	referred to as KOKS Exhibit
4	No. 4 was marked for
5	identification.)
6	MR. DUNNE: Okay. Exhibit No. 5 is the
7	report of Clark Poole, engineer in charge of excuse
8	me the engineer in charge of the Grand Island FCC
9	Field Office. It consists of four pages.
10	JUDGE STIRMER: That exhibit will be marked
11	as KOKS Exhibit No. 5.
12	(Whereupon, the document
13	referred to as KOKS Exhibit
14	No. 5 was marked for
15	identification.)
16	MR. DUNNE: Exhibit No. 6, Your Honor, the
17	report of M. Moffit and K. Raines, consisting of 24
18	pages.
19	JUDGE STIRMER: That exhibit will be marked
20	as KOKS Exhibit No. 6.
21	(Whereupon, the document
22	referred to as KOKS Exhibit
23	No. 6 was marked for
24	identification.)
25	MR. DUNNE: KOKS' seven exhibit seventh

1	exhibit is petitions in support of KOKS.
2	Your Honor, to be honest with you, I don't
3	have that exhibit with me. It's really my mistake and
4	I can't tell you how many pages it is. Paulette, do
5	you have a copy?
6	MS. LADEN: I have one.
7	MR. DUNNE: Your Honor, I'm sorry. The
8	exhibit I exchanged evidently wasn't numbered. I'm
9	sorry.
10	JUDGE STIRMER: Yeah. All right. Why don't
11	we just
12	MR. DUNNE: That's
13	JUDGE STIRMER: get the numbering until
14	you have an opportunity to count these pages and tell
15	us how many are in this exhibit. All right. I'll
16	reserve identifying this exhibit, Mr. Dunne, till
17	you've have an opportunity to, to count these pages.
18	So, we'll proceed.
19	MR. DUNNE: Thank you.
20	JUDGE STIRMER: The first break when you have
21	an opportunity, you can count the numbers and then I'll
22	mark it for identification.
23	MR. DUNNE: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
24	JUDGE STIRMER: All right.
25	MR. DUNNE: I appreciate the

1	JUDGE STIRMER: Now, do you want to offer
2	these exhibits into evidence, Mr. Dunne, and I can hear
3	if the Bureau has an objections to them?
4	MR. DUNNE: Yes, sir, I do
5	JUDGE STIRMER: Okay.
6	MR. DUNNE: offer them in evidence.
7	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. Let's take them
8	individually.
9	MS. LADEN: All right. Your Honor, we have
10	several objections to Exhibit 1.
11	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. You're offering
12	Exhibit 1 now, Mr. Dunne, correct?
13	MR. DUNNE: Yes, I am.
14	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. All right. Let
15	me hear the Bureau's objections.
16	MS. LADEN: At paragraph 4, we object to the
17	entirety of paragraph 4. There is no engineering
18	support for the statement. It's not clear that the
19	witness is competent to testify about these engineering
20	matters.
21	JUDGE STIRMER: What is the purpose of this
22	paragraph, Mr. Dunne? What, what
23	MR. DUNNE: The purpose of this
24	JUDGE STIRMER: Are you
25	MR. DUNNE: paragraph, Your Honor, is to

show the, the strength of the various signals that are -- tv signals that are put over Poplar Bluff. sponsoring witness is a person who runs a tv repair business and is in the business of attempting to improve people's reception of tv signals in Poplar Bluff. And if anybody is qualified to tell you what you can get on the ground in Poplar Bluff, it's this gentleman or someone like him. If, you know, Ms. Laden has any, you know, questions about his qualifications or how long he's been doing it or the support for these statements, it certainly is subject for cross-examination. MS. LADEN: Your Honor, I just don't believe that he's qualified to testify that interference is cochannel interference or where it's from, that, that a channel in Jonesboro is often subject to co-channel interference from a channel in Cargondale (phonetic sp.). Your Honor, Mr. Lampe will be MR. DUNNE: able to testify that co-channel interference shows up on a tv set in a different way than blanketing interference and other, other interference. He's been doing this for many years and knows what he's talking about. JUDGE STIRMER: I think Bureau counsel has some validity to her objection on the basis of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

references adhere to co-channel interference from

various different stations. I don't know that Mr. 2 Lampe has been established as a competent witness to 3 testify as to those matters. I think as a, a televi-4 sion repairman in the area, he might be competent to 5 testify as to his opinion as to the quality of the signals being received based upon the various, various functions he has performed in connection with the service that he renders as a TV repairman. But I have 8 problems with the reference to co-channel interference 10 and I don't know how Mr. -- certainly, at this point I, 11 I have nothing before me which would qualify this 12 witness to testify to those matters. 13 MR. DUNNE: Again, Your Honor, I would submit 14 that his qualifications are set forth in the first 15 couple of -- first paragraph -- first two paragraphs --16 three paragraphs of this, this exhibit. He's been on the ground. He's been doing this for 12 years or --17 18 JUDGE STIRMER: Well --19 MR. DUNNE: -- so many years. When he sees 20 co-channel interference on a television set, when he's 21 orienting antennas, which you have to do in Poplar 22 Bluff, he knows what stations he's orienting to and 23 away from. 24 JUDGE STIRMER: Well, I, I'm not going -- I'm 25 going to reserve ruling on the admissibility of, of

1	this paragraph until I hear further from Mr. Lampe with
2	respect to his qualifications to testify to these
3	matters. Again, I have no problem with him testifying
4	generally as to what his opinion is of the quality of
5	the signals received in this community. But to attri-
6	bute certain deficiencies in the signal to certain
7	factors, that's a matter that I don't have the, the
8	knowledge to
9	MR. DUNNE: Well, I'm sure if he's asked,
10	Your Honor, he'll satisfy you as to why there's
11	JUDGE STIRMER: All right.
12	MR. DUNNE: bits in the
13	JUDGE STIRMER: Very well. Proceed.
14	MS. LADEN: Your Honor, at page 3, the end
15	of, of paragraph 5, which begins at the previous page
16	actually, it begins at the bottom of page 2.
17	"Blanketing interference usually blanks out the channel
18	completely or shows in the picture as zig-zag" and
19	so on till the end of that paragraph. Once again,
20	there's no basis to suppose that the witness is quali-
21	fied to testify as to what FM blanketing looks like.
22	JUDGE STIRMER: Do you want to respond to
23	that, Mr. Dunne?
24	MR. DUNNE: I would reiterate what I've said
25	before, Your Honor. He's also worked, worked it

1	says here someplace that he worked has had some
2	experience with blanketing interference with another
3	radio station. He has worked with radio stations in a
4	as a contract engineer, including FM stations. He's
5	in the business of curing reception of people's televi-
6	sion sets and presumptively would know what different
7	kinds of interference are if he's going to cure them.
8	Again, he's subject for cross-examination.
9	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. I'll admit that
10	to stand. You can cross-examine him on his knowledge
11	of this. But if I find that he has no knowledge of
12	these matters, then the testimony will be stricken.
13	MS. LADEN: On page 3, at the bottom of page
14	3, the middle of paragraph 6, "many viewers in the
15	area" through to the end of that page and that
16	paragraph. Those statements are hearsay.
17	JUDGE STIRMER: Are you offering this to show
18	the state of mind of this witness or to establish these
19	facts as truthful?
20	MR. DUNNE: No, both, Your Honor.
21	JUDGE STIRMER: Both?
22	MR. DUNNE: But in, in point of fact, there
23	will be plenty of record evidence that this that the
24	highway patrol caused interference, and on intermittent
25	basis.

JUDGE STIRMER: Well, I'm -- I'll only re-1 2 ceive this for the state of mind of the witness, not for the truth of the matters asserted. 3 MR. DUNNE: Your Honor, also, I -- you know, 4 the fact that it's hearsay does not necessarily disqua-5 6 lify it. It's my understanding that in an 7 administrative proceeding, trustworthy or reliable hearsay is at times accepted. You know, once again, 8 9 this is a --JUDGE STIRMER: Well, I understand. 10 11 that's correct. But the statements in here, Mr. Dunne, 12 "many viewers in the area," how many are many? Or what may be considered many to Mr. Lampe, I might consider 13 14 few. I'll just accept this for purposes of showing 15 state of mind of the witness with -- but I'm not accep-16 ting it for the truth of the matters asserted. 17 MS. LADEN: Your Honor, at the bottom of page 6, the end of paragraph 10, "as best as I can recall, I 18 19 believe that he said he would write his problems down and mail them to me and I left." Except for the state-20 21 ment "and I left," the rest of it is hearsay. 22 MR. DUNNE: That's not true, Your Honor. 23 That's a verbal lie. He's reporting what he was told. 24 MS. LADEN: Your Honor, maybe I should ask 25 what purpose it's being offered for.

1	JUDGE STIRMER: What is the purpose of this?
2	MR. DUNNE: I'm sorry, Your Honor? I missed
3	that.
4	JUDGE STIRMER: What is the purpose of this
5	offer?
6	MR. DUNNE: To establish that a
7	representative of KOKS went to the channel, went to
8	these people houses, asked for them to provide him with
9	a list of complaints that he could resolve, and that
10	they said they would and that they didn't.
11	JUDGE STIRMER: I'll overrule the objection.
12	Cross-examine him on that.
13	It's not a very clear statement because it
14	has so many caveats. "As best as I can recall, I
15	believe" I don't know what that's worth, Mr. Dunne.
16	All right.
17	MS. LADEN: At the bottom of page 7, para-
18	graph 12, the second sentence and the third sentence,
19	the second sentence begins, "He seemed generally satis-
20	fied" And
21	JUDGE STIRMER: I'll, I'll strike that sen-
22	tence.
23	MS. LADEN: In the next sentence, he did
24	mention that the second harmonic was better than requi-
25	red. That's hearsay.

1 JUDGE STIRMER: I'll strike that as well. MS. LADEN: And, then, later on on page 8, 2 3 where it begins, "He told me that Mrs. Smith..." The rest of that paragraph we would object as hearsay, Your 4 5 Honor. JUDGE STIRMER: I'll strike that as hearsay. 6 7 MR. DUNNE: Your Honor, may I respond to 8 that? 9 JUDGE STIRMER: Certainly. 10 MR. DUNNE: Your Honor, one of the -- again, hearsay is not always and necessarily excludable in an 11 12 administrative hearing. The status of Channel 6 and 13 its reception is an important issue in this proceeding. We have a report from an FCC engineer, Clark Poole to 14 be exact, that said he told people, specifically Mrs. 15 16 Smith and Mrs. Hillis, and Mrs. Smith and Mrs. Hillis 17 presumptively on cross-examine will con-- will confirm 18 this fact, that KOKS was not responsible for curing 19 interference with Channel 6. It's an important point 20 that needs to be in the record. 21 JUDGE STIRMER: Let me ask you this, and let 22 me ask your view of this. If these residents were 23 receiving Channel 6 before KOKS went on the air and 24 thereafter were unable to receive that signal, did the station have any responsibility for restoring that 25

1	signal to them?
2	MR. DUNNE: Ms. Laden?
3	MS. LADEN: Your Honor, the, the hearing
4	designation order addresses that specifically, and the
5	Commission found, to my knowledge, for the first time
6	in this designation order that there is no exclusion of
7	responsibility for Channel 6. Or put in the reverse,
8	that there is a responsibility to restore service for
9	stations that are outside of the grade that, that do
10	not put a grade B signal in. And that is in the hear-
11	ing designation order.
12	However, Your Honor, that's not the basis for
13	my objection. The basis for my objection is the fact
14	that he's offering the statement for the truth of the,
15	of the matters herein
16	MR. DUNNE: No, Your Honor.
17	MS. LADEN: that there is no responsibi-
18	lity to cure Channel 6, that Mrs. Smith was an unhappy
19	lady, that they're being offered for the that the
20	that, that Mr. Poole was satisfied with the techni-
21	cal shape the station was in. We have an ineptness
22	issue. This is being offered for the truth
23	MR. DUNNE: You've already stricken that,
24	Your Honor. Let's, let's get back to
25	JUDGE STIRMER: Yeah

1	MR. DUNNE: the Channel 6 situation.
2	MS. LADEN: Oh, I, I'm sorry. I thought we
3	were discussing
4	MR. DUNNE: We're going
5	MS. LADEN: the interviews.
6	JUDGE STIRMER: I had stricken the first
7	the second sentence.
8	MS. LADEN: I apologize, Your Honor. I
9	thought we were discussing
10	JUDGE STIRMER: And the third sentence of
11	paragraph 12.
12	MR. DUNNE: Your Honor, if I can butt in
13	here, and I'm speaking specifically to the paragraph
14	that begins, "He told methe very unhappy lady and
15	about the grade B contour." We're talking well,
16	specifically about the state of mind, not only of Mr.
17	Lampe, but of Mr. Clark and of the people at KOKS who,
18	as Mrs. Laden properly pointed out, until this designa-
19	tion order, there was not a single Commission statement
20	that said that you were responsible for curing inter-
21	ference to a station that was far beyond its grade B
22	contour.
23	JUDGE STIRMER: Well
24	MR. DUNNE: This goes to the state of mind of
25	people who are accused of a misrepresentation issue,

1	about curing interference, to say, hey, we don't think
2	we had an obligation to do this. And now that, that
3	was confirmed, not only from other sources, but confir-
4	med to our engineer and the engineer told other people.
5	That, you know, it was not unreasonable for them
6	JUDGE STIRMER: Let me
7	MR. DUNNE: to believe
8	JUDGE STIRMER: Did Mr. Poole in fact tell
9	these people these things, that they weren't respon-
10	sible for correcting
11	MS. LADEN: I have no
12	JUDGE STIRMER: reception?
13	MS. LADEN: idea, Your Honor.
14	JUDGE STIRMER: All right.
15	MS. LADEN: I, I have no idea. And it seems
16	to me if that were to be established, I don't mind if
17	it comes in, Your Honor, to show that these people
18	believe this.
19	MR. DUNNE: But, Your Honor, that's all it's
20	being offered for.
21	MS. LADEN: Your Honor, if it's offered to
22	show that because of statements made by an FCC engineer
23	these people believed it, believed it, I have no objec-
24	tion, because it goes to state of mind. But Mr. Dunne
25	just got done saying that that belief was reasonable,

1	and that's the difficulty I'm having. To the extent
2	that that belief was justified or reasonable, I believe
3	that, that that is hearsay.
4	JUDGE STIRMER: Well, let me ask this further
5	question. Is Mr. Poole going to be a witness?
6	MR. DUNNE: No, he's not, Your Honor. We're
7	I haven't called him. I'm just going to submit his,
8	submit his report.
9	MS. LADEN: And, Your Honor, we have an
10	objection to that report. It seems that the, that the
11	witness who can testify to this is Mr. Poole and not
12	Mr. Lampe.
13	JUDGE STIRMER: Why aren't you going to do
14	you want Mr. Poole for cross-examination?
15	MS. LADEN: No, Your Honor. We have an
16	objection to the report. We have an engineer for
17	cross-examination, Mr. Ramage.
18	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. Well, let's,
19	let's go
20	MS. LADEN: But, that is
21	JUDGE STIRMER: I we're going to have
22	MS. LADEN: we have an engineer for our
23	direct
24	JUDGE STIRMER: Pardon?
25	MS. LADEN: We have an engineer that we're
ı	

1	offering as part of our direct case.
2	JUDGE STIRMER: All right.
3	MS. LADEN: Mr. Ramage.
4	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. Then, as I take
5	it, you have no objection to the receipt of this infor-
6	mation for state of mind purposes?
7	MS. LADEN: That's correct, Your Honor.
8	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. That's what it
9	will be received for. All right. Let's continue.
10	MS. LADEN: Paragraph 13, the last two sen-
11	tences, "Mr. Moffit told me" Going to the end of
12	that paragraph, we would object as hearsay.
13	JUDGE STIRMER: Are you offering this for the
14	truth, Mr
15	MR. DUNNE: No. Again, it's
16	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. State of mind?
17	MR. DUNNE: something that talks about the
18	state of mind of the engineer
19	JUDGE STIRMER: All right.
20	MR. DUNNE: who was going to be going to
21	the houses.
22	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. This will be
23	MR. DUNNE: He can testify what as to what
24	he actually found when he went to the house.
25	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. This will be

1	received for the state of mind only.
2	MS. LADEN: And, Your Honor, at the beginning
3	of page 9, which is the middle of paragraph 14
4	JUDGE STIRMER: I might add that the exhibit
5	that I have received has a duplicate page 8. I don't
6	know whether you all have that as well.
7	MS. LADEN: We had that also.
8	MR. DUNNE: Oh, did you?
9	JUDGE STIRMER: Okay.
10	MR. DUNNE: Then I hope there's no difference
11	between the page 8s.
12	JUDGE STIRMER: I don't, I don't think there
13	is, but I didn't check each word.
14	MR. DUNNE: I don't think so, Your Honor.
15	MS. LADEN: It with the sentence that
16	says, "They told me that they have one and could manu-
17	facture one to fit the need." We object to that sen-
18	tence as hearsay.
19	JUDGE STIRMER: This is in paragraph
20	MS. LADEN: Paragraph 14.
21	JUDGE STIRMER: 14?
22	MS. LADEN: It's the first complete sentence
23	on page 9.
24	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. I'll accept that
25	for state of mind purposes.

1	MS. LADEN: And, also, Your Honor, the sen-
2	tence that in that paragraph that begins, "They told
3	me that the specifications for the filter We would
4	object to that. We would object if it's offered for
5	the truth of the matter.
6	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. You, you
7	again
8	MR. DUNNE: Again, Your Honor, this is what
9	the guy was told that the thing would do.
10	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. That will be
11	state of mind.
12	MS. LADEN: Your Honor, there's a statement
13	here at the bottom of page 15 which is technically
14	hearsay, "Mr. Stewart told me to use only one filter
15	per household." And it continues for the rest of that
16	paragraph. But I'm not going to object because the
17	statement is repeated in Mr. Stewart's testimony.
18	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. Now, this is
19	where?
20	MS. LADEN: The bottom of paragraph 15.
21	Mr
22	JUDGE STIRMER: Bottom of paragraph 15?
23	MS. LADEN: That's right. "Mr. Stewart
24	JUDGE STIRMER: All right.
25	MS. LADEN: told me to use only one fil-